



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,319	02/25/2005	Jose M Alonso	048219.00301	3823
25461	7590	11/02/2009	EXAMINER	
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL SUITE 3100, PROMENADE II 1230 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30309-3592				SITTNER, MATTHEW T
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3629				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/02/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/526,319	ALONSO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MATTHEW SITTNER	3629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 August 2009.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 59-72 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 59-72 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-58 have been canceled.
2. Claims 59-72 are new.
3. Claims 59-72 are pending and have been examined.
4. This action is in reply to the papers filed on 08/24/2009.

Information Disclosure Statement

5. The information disclosure statement submitted on 08/26/2008, has been considered by the Examiner and made of record in the application file.

Amendment

6. The present Office Action is based upon the original patent application filed on 02/25/2005 as modified by the amendment filed on 08/24/2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in **Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPO 459 (1966)**, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows: (*See MPEP Ch. 2141*)

- a. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art;
- b. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims in issue;
- c. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art; and
- d. Evaluating evidence of secondary considerations for indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

8. Claims 59-72 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over: Petite et al. US PGPub. 2002/0125998 (**Petite**) in view of Dividock et al. US Pat. 6,078,255 (**Dividock**) in further view of Labedz et al. US PGPub. 2008/0065456 (**Labedz**).

59. (New) A method for managing security personnel on a real property site, such as a building, an office complex comprising a number of buildings, and/or a property management company having multiple buildings and multiple sites, comprising the steps of:

Preamble is given little to no patentable weight.

Petite discloses a system and method for monitoring and controlling remote devices.

See Petite at Abstract and at Summary of the Invention.

ABSTRACT:

The present invention is generally directed to a system for monitoring a variety of environmental and/or other conditions within a defined remotely located region. In accordance with one aspect of the invention, a system is configured to monitor utility meters in a defined area. The system is implemented by using a plurality of wireless transmitters, wherein each wireless transmitter is integrated into a sensor adapted to monitor a particular data input. The system also includes a plurality of transceivers that are dispersed throughout the region at defined locations. The system uses a local gateway to translate and transfer information from the transmitters to a dedicated computer on a network. The dedicated computer, collects, compiles, and stores the data for retrieval upon client demand across the network. The computer further includes means for evaluating the received information and identifying an appropriate control signal, the system further including means for applying the control signal at a designated actuator.

**These remote devices may be used to manage and monitor a real property facility
(i.e. the claimed real property management system).**

The system has both monitoring and reporting features.

**Monitoring: [0003, 0013, 0015, 0021, 0024, 0032, 0033, 0034, 0048-0049, 0071-0073,
0089-0093] Fig. 2, 6, 7, 8.**

Reporting: [0003, 0009, 0013, 0016, 0033, 0052] Fig. 7.

Petite may or may not expressly disclose the following:

a) inputting into a system database information regarding a plurality of security tours within the real property site, including a plurality of posts to be visited on each of said plurality of tours and instructions describing tasks to be performed at each of said posts;

However, Petite does disclose computers servers, and databases which record and store information and data concerning a remote real property site. Petite at [0013, 0014, 0015, 0046, 0052].

If Petite does not expressly disclose the claimed features, then, Dividock et al. US

Pat. 6,078,255 (Dividock) does disclose the claimed features.

Dividock discloses a system for logging premises hazard inspections. See Dividock at Abstract and at Summary of the Invention.

ABSTRACT:

A floor safety inspection system uses computer technology to document floor safety walk-around inspection tours by employees and to report the results remotely. Electronic encoding devices represent inspector identity, location and type of hazard situation encountered at the location, which are recorded to identify and remove hazards such as slip-and-fall hazards, to document safety inspections made and to provide evidentiary defense against claims and lawsuits. The system includes software, portable data collectors, electronically encoded buttons, modems, a downloading cradle, and a personal computer. A floor inspector enters his or her identity at the portable data collector, proceeds to visit encoded locations, and checks for and enters codes representing hazards encountered (and preferably also hazards that have been cleaned up). The date and time are recorded with each code. Periodically, data is collected centrally from the portable collector, which is placed in a docking cradle coupled to a modem, by polling over telephone lines (or another communications pathway) from a central computer. The data is processed to provide chronological, management, and exception reports, for auditing compliance with assigned floor inspection tours and for statistical analysis of hazards.

Further, an inspection tour with posts and scheduled tasks as claimed is old and well known in the art.

Dividock's system provides electronic means to ensure that an employee successfully completes a pre-planned tour of an area. See Dividock at Abstract and Summary of the Invention. See also Background of the Invention for an excellent recital of the prior art in this area. For example, Dividock sites U.S. Pat. No. 3,781,845 to Ellul. Ellul's application was filed in 1972 and discloses a system for verifying that security guards have visited each location along an inspection route.

Dividock discloses using prior collected data for use in statistical analysis, management and for auditing compliance. See Dividock at Abstract.

Further, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use prior information collected from a building or inspection tour to develop future tours or to make modifications to existing tours. For example, if collected data shows that a certain high traffic area has a statistically greater number of accidents or spills it would be obvious to increase the frequency of inspection and clean up in that area (i.e. more frequent inspections near the dairy area to clean up or look for broken or spilt milk and eggs; more frequent inspections near an exterior entrance when there is the presence of rain or snow; etc...).

Dividock at col. 4, lines 55-60 discloses reports which can be analyzed statistically to pinpoint problem areas within a business premises, and to identify opportunities to decrease the occurrence of slip-fall hazards.

The reports can be used to audit compliance with predetermined floor safety inspection procedures such as a desired route and span of inspection. The reports can be analyzed statistically to pinpoint problem areas within the business premises, and to identify opportunities to decrease the occurrence of slip-fall hazards. The reports also provide an impartial and unalterable record, and are useful to defend against claims and lawsuits brought by customers.

See also col. 8, lines 60 – col. 9, lines 8; also disclosing pinpointing problems or incidents.

Motivation to combine may be gleaned from the prior art contemplated. Therefore, one skilled in the art would have found it obvious from the combined teachings of “Petite” and “Dividock” as a whole to produce the invention as claimed with a reasonable expectation of achieving: the property management features of Petite with the reporting and inspection tour features of Dividock.

Further, one would expect a high degree or level of success when combining these references as all the references seek to solve the same problem of managing a facility (See references' Abstract and Summary of the Invention). Further, all references employ similar features to solve this problem. The references utilize remote sensors, computers, the internet, etc...

Petite may or may not expressly disclose the following:

b) issuing a wireless handheld computing device to at least one security guard, said wireless handheld computing device comprising an updateable display means for presenting instructions to said security guard and input means for said security guard to enter data into said wireless handheld computing device;

However, Petite does disclose a portable device at [0025 and Fig. 3A, 0070 – remote laptop or other device].

[0025] FIG. 3A is a functional block diagram that illustrates a transmitter in accordance with the present invention integrated in a portable device with user operable buttons that trigger data transmissions as desired;

Dividock also discloses a handheld data collector which collects data and transfers that data to a central database/central computer. See Dividock at Abstract and Summary of the Invention.

ABSTRACT:

A floor safety inspection system uses computer technology to document floor safety walk-around inspection tours by employees and to report the results remotely. Electronic encoding devices represent inspector identity, location and type of hazard situation encountered at the location, which are recorded to identify and remove hazards such as slip-and-fall hazards, to document safety inspections made and to provide evidentiary defense against claims and lawsuits. The system includes software, portable data collectors, electronically encoded buttons, modems, a downloading cradle, and a personal computer. A

floor inspector enters his or her identity at the portable data collector, proceeds to visit encoded locations, and checks for and enters codes representing hazards encountered (and preferably also hazards that have been cleaned up). The date and time are recorded with each code. Periodically, data is collected centrally from the portable collector, which is placed in a docking cradle coupled to a modem, by polling over telephone lines (or another communications pathway) from a central computer. The data is processed to provide chronological, management, and exception reports, for auditing compliance with assigned floor inspection tours and for statistical analysis of hazards.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides an automated floor inspection system especially for establishments frequented by members of the public. The invention uses portable data collector technology to document floor safety walk-around inspection tours by employees, including the remote reporting of hazards or maintenance requirements and also the absence of such hazards, in a manner that provides an evidentiary record beyond the control of the establishment to alter.

See also Divdock at cols. 3-4.

Further, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to transfer the claimed data wirelessly.

Petite may or may not expressly disclose the following:

c) wirelessly transmitting instructions for a security tour from said system database to said wireless handheld computing device, said instructions instructing said security guard to perform specific tasks on said security tour;

However, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ wireless features to communicate or transmit instructions or information from a central system to a handheld computing device. The prior art already teaches the use of pre-planned tours and communicating between the handheld device and a central system. Thus, wireless communication is merely an obvious implementation of new technology.

Further, Petite does disclose wireless transmitters which communicate information wirelessly. See Petite at [Abstract, 0014-0015, 0020-0021, 0053, claims 1, 3-4, 34, 36, 44, 47, 52-53, 64].

[0053] It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that the information transmitted and received by the wireless transceivers of the present invention may be further integrated with other data transmission protocols for transmission across telecommunications and computer networks other than the Internet. In addition, it should be further appreciated that telecommunications and computer networks other than the Internet can function as a transmission path between the networked wireless transceivers, the local gateways, and the central server.

Petite may or may not expressly disclose the following:

d) displaying said instructions on said updateable display means of said wireless handheld computing device;

However, Dividock does.

Dividock discloses a portable data collector with an LED display. See Dividock at col. 6, lines 34-44.

Portable data collector 15 includes a touch probe 33 that is adapted to read the encoded information from a position marker button 5. Portable data collector 15 is preferably sized to be operated by hand, and includes means for prompting and/or alerting an operator to the successful acquisition of information from a position marker button 5, e.g., an LED display 34, bell, or buzz feature. Portable data collector 15 also includes an internal clock and memory to enter a record of the date and time at which position marker button 5 was successfully read.

Labedz et al. US PGPub. 2008/0065456 (Labedz) also discloses a mobile computing device with updateable display means. See Labedz at [0021 & Figs. 8a-8d, 0063-0065].

[0021] FIGS. 8a through 8d illustrate example displays of the mobile computing device;

[0065] Four exemplary display screens on the mobile computing device are shown in FIGS. 8(a) to (d). As an example, assume that the previous selected task is an inspection of a specific job site, the TEP displays a first screen showing the name of the inspector, the name of the building, the address of the building, the location of the building for inspection, date, and the inspection type (shown in FIG. 8(a)). The user can change any of these fields at this point. In addition, from this first screen, the user can choose to start the inspection or exit the TEP. If the user chooses to start the inspection, the next screen is preferably a weekly

inspection form shown in FIG. 8(b), since the first screen displayed a weekly inspection type. The user can select any of the items listed on the screen. The next screen shown in FIG. 8(c) is a display of the "signage, prices, labels correct" item in FIG. 8(b). Finally, FIG. 8(d) shows an example message screen for sending an email using the MCD. Many other screens are available and the arrangement of these screens can be changed and are within the scope of the present invention.

Labedz et al. US PGPub. 2008/0065456 (Labedz) discloses a system and method for managing maintenance of building facilities. See Labedz at Abstract and at Summary of the Invention.

ABSTRACT:

The present invention relates to a system for managing operational facilities that is of the type which utilizes predefined events to carry out managing operations for the facilities. The system includes one or more servers adapted to receive events from a client and forward the events to a clearinghouse via a communication link. The system further includes one or more clients, each of which has a unique login identity, adapted to selectively send events to the server via the communication link. Also included is a clearinghouse connected to each of the server and each of the client via the communication link for selectively storing data from each server and each client in a database, and being adapted to selectively authorize predetermined events by each client according to the login identity of each such client, to selectively schedule predetermined events in response to data stored in the database and to monitor the status of all events stored in the database.

Motivation to combine may be gleaned from the prior art contemplated. Therefore, one skilled in the art would have found it obvious from the combined teachings of “Petite” and “Labedz” as a whole to produce the invention as claimed with a reasonable expectation of achieving: the property management features of Petite with the handheld device with display means of Labedz.

Further, one would expect a high degree or level of success when combining these references as all the references seek to solve the same problem of managing a facility (See references’ Abstract and Summary of the Invention). Further, all references employ similar features to solve this problem. The references utilize remote sensors, computers, the internet, etc...

Petite may or may not expressly disclose the following:

e) wirelessly receiving at least one completion notice or incident notice from said wireless handheld computing device, where said notice was inputted into the wireless handheld computing device by the security guard using said input means of said wireless handheld computing device;

However, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ wireless features to communicate or transmit instructions or information from a central system to a handheld computing device or vice-versa. The prior art already teaches the use of pre-planned tours and communicating between a handheld device and a central system. Thus, wireless communication is merely an obvious implementation of new technology.

Further, Petite does disclose wireless transmitters which communicate information wirelessly. See Petite at [Abstract, 0014-0015, 0020-0021, 0053, claims 1, 3-4, 34, 36, 44, 47, 52-53, 64].

[0053] It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that the information transmitted and received by the wireless transceivers of the present invention may be further integrated with other data transmission protocols for transmission across telecommunications and computer networks other than the Internet. In addition, it should be further appreciated that telecommunications and computer networks other than the Internet can function as a transmission path between the networked wireless transceivers, the local gateways, and the central server.

Labedz et al. US PGPub. 2008/0065456 (Labedz) discloses a wireless handheld device which allows the user (i.e. a security guard) to both send and receive information/data. Labedz at [0065-0070].

f) storing the data contained in said completion notice or incident notice into said system database;

Petite discloses storing data into a system database. Petite at [0052, 0070, 0075, 0085].

Labedz also discloses storing data into a system database. Labedz at [Abstract, 0012-0013, 0041, 0044, 0048, 0057, 0072-0073, 0078-0079, 0081-0082, claims 1, 3, 5-6, 9, 12, 14].

Further, it is old and well known in the art to store information and data of all types including completion notice or incident notice data into a system database.

g) generating at least one notification in real-time substantially containing the data contained in said completion or incident notice; and

Petite's alarms and reports are construed as claimed alerts.

Petite [0009] discloses a plurality of systems which require real-time monitoring including fire reporting and alarm systems. Petite's system is directed to a computerized system for monitoring, reporting, and controlling remote systems and system information. [0013, 0042, 0068]. At [0068] Petite disclose associating various code segments with various

alarms. This coding allows various responses to be made to various alarms. See [0093] for an example of some of the systems which may be controlled by Petite's system.

Petite at [0042] discloses central controller 130 which can be configured to forward alarm conditions to appropriate public safety officers. An alarm is a type or kind of an alert.

Dividock et al. US Pat. 6,078,255. Dividock discloses a floor safety inspection system which uses computer technology to document walk-around inspection tours by employees and to report the results remotely. Abstract. Dividock's invention uses portable data collector technology and remote reporting. Col. 4, lines 62-67.

Dividock discloses generating three types of reports. Chronological report provide a printout of each day's floor safety inspection tours, showing the identity of each employee, each time that a position marker was touched, and the recordation of any hazards. This chronological report is construed as the claimed real time reports. Col. 8, lines44-67.
Other reports are exception reports and management reports.

Zaks et al. US PGPub. 2003/0078798 (Zaks) also discloses real-time reporting or real-time communication. Zaks at [0077] discloses daily reporting. Daily reporting is construed as claimed reports are generated in real time.

Petite may or may not expressly disclose the following:

h) communicating said notification to a property manager.

However Divdock does.

Divdock discloses reporting information to a manager. Divdock at col. 4, lines 40-55; col. 5, lines 5-20.

Further, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to communicate important information to a manager or supervisor.

Petite may or may not expressly disclose the following:

60. (New) The method of claim 59 wherein said incident notice is selected from the group consisting of one-time incidents, multiple time incidents, recurring incidents, and incidents that are not part of a tour.

Divdock discloses recording a plurality of incidents including one-time incidents (i.e. broken debris) or recurring incidents (i.e. maintenance-electrical/mechanical). Col. 3, lines 35-60.

Petite may or may not expressly disclose the following:

61. (New) The method of claim 59 wherein said incident notices are pre-inputted into the system database.

Divdock discloses codes which represent pre-inputted incidents or hazards.

Abstract and Summary of the Invention.

Petite may or may not expressly disclose the following:

62. (New) The method of claim 61 wherein the incident notices are assigned a level of importance.

However, it would be obvious to assign a level of importance among incidents. For example, every good grocery clerk knows that a wet spill or broken glass is of the highest importance. While something which is dry or not an immediate slip-fall danger is of less importance.

Petite may or may not expressly disclose the following:

63. (New) The method of claim 62 wherein the incident notices are prioritized in order of importance.

It would be obvious to place a higher priority on incidents of greater importance (i.e. the wet spill has a higher priority over stocking shelves or cleaning a dry mess).

64. (New) The method of claim 59 wherein said notification is selected from the group consisting of a text message to a mobile telephone, an instant message on a personal computer or wireless handheld computing device, a pop-up box on a personal computer or wireless handheld computing device, a signal to a pager, and an automated telephone call.

Petite discloses using a two-way transceivers or radios [0094] to communicate.

Further, sending out an alert via any of the claimed methods is old and well known in the art.

65. (New) A method for managing security personnel on a real property site, such as a building, an office complex comprising a number of buildings, and/or a property management company having multiple buildings and multiple sites, comprising the steps of:

- a) inputting into a system database information regarding a plurality of security tours within the real property site, including a plurality of posts to be visited on each of said plurality of tours and instructions describing tasks to be performed at each of said posts;
- b) issuing a wireless handheld computing device to at least one security guard, said wireless handheld computing device comprising an updateable display means for presenting instructions to said security guard and input means for said security guard to enter data into said wireless handheld computing device;
- c) wirelessly transmitting instructions for a security tour from said system database to said wireless handheld computing device, said instructions instructing said security guard to perform specific tasks on said security tour;
- d) displaying said instructions on said updateable display means of said wireless handheld computing device;
- e) wirelessly receiving at least one completion notice or incident notice from said wireless handheld computing device, where said notice was inputted into the wireless handheld computing device by the security guard using said input means of said wireless handheld computing device;
- f) storing the data contained in said completion notice or incident notice into said system database;

g) updating the system database in real time of any changes or adaptations in said security tour based on new items or incidents reported by said security guard via said wireless handheld computing device or added by a property manager; and

h) notifying in real time said security guard via said wireless handheld computing device or said property manager of said changes or adaptations thereby allowing said security guard to adapt or change the security tour accordingly.

Claim 65, has similar limitations as of Claim 59, therefore it is rejected under the same rationale as Claim 59.

66. (New) The method of claim 65, further comprising the steps of:

i) generating at least one notification in real-time substantially containing the data contained in said completion or incident notice; and

j) communicating said notification to said property manager.

Claim 66, has similar limitations as of Claim 59, therefore it is rejected under the same rationale as Claim 59.

67. (New) A system for managing security personnel on a real property site, such as a building, an office complex comprising a number of buildings, and/or a property management company having multiple buildings and multiple sites, comprising:

a) a database server for storing information regarding a plurality of security tours within the real property site, each tour including a plurality of posts to be visited on said tour and instructions describing tasks to be performed at each of said posts;

Petite discloses a database server at [0015, 0046, 0052, 0070, 0075, 0085].

b) at least one wireless handheld computing device comprising an updateable display means for presenting instructions to a security guard and input means for entering data into said wireless handheld computing device;

Petite discloses a wireless transmitter at [Abstract, 0013 – remote devices, 0014-0015 – wireless transceivers, 0020-0021, 0025 – portable device, 0051 – data monitoring and control devices].

c) a networked server for allowing direct wireless handheld computing device access to the system and the database server; and

Petite discloses a network at [Abstract, 0003 - wide area network (WAN) and using software applications hosted on a connected server to appropriately process the information, 0013 – server, 0015 – server, 0020, 0046 - Server 260 can be farther networked with database server 270 to record client specific data, 0051, 0053, 0069 – network server].

d) an interface device for allowing at least one manager to input data into and receive data from the system;

Petite discloses an interface at [0013 – interface, 0015 – stand-alone device, 0060 – data and digital interface].

Claim 67 is a system claim. System and apparatus claims define structure. Thus, everything following “a) a database server...; b) at least one wireless handheld computing device...; c) a networked server...; d) an interface device...” is construed as ‘intended use limitations’ and ‘non-functional data’. Intended use limitations, in system/apparatus claims, are given little to no patentable weight.

Thus, any reference disclosing a computer with a database, server, and display would anticipate these claims.

wherein instructions are wirelessly transmitted from said networked server to said wireless handheld computing device instructing said security guard to perform specific tasks on a security tour;

These features are construed as ‘intended use limitations’ and/or ‘non-functional data’.

wherein said instructions are displayed on said updateable display means of said wireless handheld computing device;

These features are construed as ‘intended use limitations’ and/or ‘non-functional data’.

wherein said security guard enters data into said wireless handheld computing device using said input means;

These features are construed as ‘intended use limitations’ and/or ‘non-functional data’.

wherein said entered data is wirelessly transmitted from said wireless handheld computing device to said networked server and thereafter stored in said database server;

These features are construed as ‘intended use limitations’ and/or ‘non-functional data’.

wherein at least one notification is generated in real-time substantially containing the data entered by said security guard into said wireless handheld computing device; and

These features are construed as ‘intended use limitations’ and/or ‘non-functional data’.

wherein said notification is communicated to a property manager.

These features are construed as ‘intended use limitations’ and/or ‘non-functional data’.

Claim 67, has similar limitations as of Claim 59, therefore it is rejected under the same rationale as Claim 59.

68. (New) The system of claim 67 wherein the data entered by said security guard into said wireless handheld computing device is selected from the group consisting of onetime incidents, multiple time incidents, recurring incidents, and incidents that are not part of a tour.

Claim 68, has similar limitations as of Claim 60, therefore it is rejected under the same rationale as Claim 60.

69. (New) The system of claim 67 wherein the data entered by said security guard into said wireless handheld computing device is selected from a group of pre-inputted data sets.

Claim 69, has similar limitations as of Claim 61, therefore it is rejected under the same rationale as Claim 61.

70. (New) The system of claim 67 wherein said notification is selected from the group consisting of a text message to a mobile telephone, an instant message on a personal

computer or wireless handheld computing device, a pop-up box on a personal computer or wireless handheld computing device, a signal to a pager, and an automated telephone call.

Claim 70, has similar limitations as of Claim 64, therefore it is rejected under the same rationale as Claim 64.

71. (New) A system for managing security personnel on a real property site, such as a building, an office complex comprising a number of buildings, and/or a property management company having multiple buildings and multiple sites, comprising:

a) a database server for storing information regarding a plurality of security tours within the real property site, each tour including a plurality of posts to be visited on said tour and instructions describing tasks to be performed at each of said posts;

b) at least one wireless handheld computing device comprising an updateable display means for presenting instructions to a security guard and input means for entering data into said wireless handheld computing device;

c) a networked server for allowing direct wireless handheld computing device access to the system and the database server; and

d) an interface device for allowing at least one manager to input data into and receive data from the system;

wherein instructions are wirelessly transmitted from said networked server to said wireless handheld computing device instructing said security guard to perform specific tasks on a security tour;

wherein said instructions are displayed on said updateable display means of said wireless handheld computing device;

wherein said security guard enters data into said wireless handheld computing device using said input means;

wherein said entered data is wirelessly transmitted from said wireless handheld computing device to said networked server and thereafter stored in said database server;

wherein said database server is updated in real time of any changes or adaptations in said security tour based on new items or incidents reported by said security guard via said wireless handheld computing device or added by a property manager; and

wherein said changes or adaptations are wirelessly transmitted in real time from said networked server to said wireless handheld computing device thereby allowing said security guard to adapt or change the security tour accordingly.

Claim 71, has similar limitations as of Claims 59 and 67, therefore it is rejected under the same rationale as Claims 59 and 67.

72. (New) The system of claim 71, wherein at least one notification is generated in real-time substantially containing the data comprising said new items or incidents; and wherein said notification is communicated to the property manager.

Claim 72, has similar limitations as of Claims 59 and 66, therefore it is rejected under the same rationale as Claims 59 and 66.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

1. Ellul, US Pat. 3,781,845. Centralized Security System Employing a Magnetic Checking Device.

ABSTRACT:

A replacement for the watchman's clock and key system employed by security guards to verify that security tours are properly made. In this new system, the guard carries a card with magnetizable rods in it instead of the watchman's clock. He then proceeds to a number of checking stations along the route of his security tour where, instead of the key which is inserted into the watchman's clock, there are a number of boxes into which the magnetizable card is inserted. These boxes contain magnets which may or may not effect the magnetization of the bars in the card. When the tour is completed the guard inserts the card into a reporting station where the magnetic card is checked. If the security tour has been made properly the right rods will be magnetized in a particular manner by the magnets in the boxes and the checking station will send a signal to a central recording station to indicate completion of a properly executed tour. If the tour was not properly made and the proper bars magnetized, no such signal is sent to the recording station and the guard is told so. The guard must then report into the central location or repeat the round.

2. Yoshie et al. US PGPub. 2003/0135349 (Yoshie). System for diagnosing facility apparatus, managing apparatus and diagnostic apparatus.

ABSTRACT:

The present invention relates to a system for managing and diagnosing a state of a facility apparatus, and an object thereof is to provide a system for diagnosing the facility apparatus wherein, if information falling under a level of abnormality is extracted from gathered information on an operating state of the facility apparatus, an advanced analysis and diagnosis section on a facility diagnosis center side performs an advanced analysis and diagnosis process and promptly notifies a user side of the information on the best way of dealing with the facility apparatus determined to be abnormal, and further uploads a facility management data analysis program from the advanced analysis and diagnosis section to a facility monitoring section on the user side so that raw information of a large information amount can be analyzed on the user side without sending it to the facility diagnosis center side.

A facility management data processing section (3a) signal-processes facility state detecting information detected by facility state detectors (2a, 2b) mounted on a facility apparatus (1), a facility state determining section (4) determines a level of the signal-processed information comparing with a management reference value and outputs it, the facility monitoring section (5) gathers and processes the information related to the level-determined facility apparatus (1) and sends it to the advanced analysis and diagnosis section (6) via a communication network (10), and the advanced analysis and diagnosis section (6) performs an advanced analysis of the information and identifies a cause of the abnormality of the facility apparatus (1) concerned and improvement measures thereof to send the identified results to the facility monitoring section (5). In addition, it is characterized in that the facility management data analysis program is uploaded from the advanced analysis and diagnosis section (6) to the facility monitoring section (5) so that the advanced analysis can be performed on the user side B.

3. Zaks et al. US PGPub. 2003/0078798. Computerized maintenance management system.

ABSTRACT:

A computerized maintenance management system for handling, among other things, an organization's payroll, billing, maintenance needs, employee information, employee time sheets, purchasing, inventory, environmental issues, reports, census information, school safety issues, equipment identification, vendor access, and user security. The system includes various modules that work together to manage all aspects of a maintenance facility's work orders generated to maintain the organization's buildings and other structures. A work order module is used for entering, tracking, and communicating the work orders to various organization personnel.

4. Vivadelli et al. US PGPub. 2004/0267623. System and method for managing workplace real estate and other resources.

ABSTRACT:

Organizations can proactively manage and reserve shared resources, such as workspaces, equipment and services, as well as report on the utilization of those resources across departments and facilities using the system of the present invention. Work-place management tools as provided by the present invention can be used to manipulate resources in real-time, automate

inefficient processes, and track and analyze usage patterns, in order to make wise short-and long-term space and resource decisions.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW T. SITTNER whose telephone number is (571) 270-7137. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MATTHEW T SITTNER/
Examiner, Art Unit 3629

/JOHN G. WEISS/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629